# GPView: a program for wave function analysis and visualization

Tian Shi*

*Department of Chemistry, Wayne State University,*

*5101 Cass Avenue, Detroit, MI 48202*

Ping Wang

*Department of Computer Science, Wayne State University,*

*5057 Woodward Avenue, Detroit, MI 48202*

(Dated: February 25, 2016)

arXiv:1602.07302v1 [physics.chem-ph] 24 Feb 2016

## Abstract

In this manuscript, we will introduce a recently developed program GPView, which can be used for wave function analysis and visualization of molecular and electroic structures. The wave function analysis module can calculate and generate 3D cubes for various types of molecular orbitals and electron density related with electronic excited states, such as natural orbitals, natural transition orbitals, natural difference orbitals, hole-particle density, detachment-attachment density and transition density. The visualization module of GPView can display molecular and electronic (iso-surfaces) structures. It is also able to animate single trajectories of molecular dynamics and non-adiabatic excited state molecular dynamics using the data stored in existing files. There are also other utilities help to extract and process the output of quantum chemistry calculations. The GPView provides full graphic user interface (GUI) which makes it very easy to use. The software, manual and tutorials are available in the website life-tp.com/gpview.

*Electronic address: gpview@life-tp.com

## I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum Chemistry (QC) calculations has produced lots of data, such as energy, dipole, force, wave function, density matrix and so on. Some of them are extracted frequently and widely used to explain experimental results and derive novel theoretical methods. For example, excitation energy and oscillator strength, the key components of aborption spectrum, are obtained from the output of TDDFT, RPA and CIS calculations. Other data may need to be analyzed and visualized by some professional software, such as GaussView, MOLDEN [1], multiwfn [2], TheoDORE[3, 4] and NancyEX [5]. For instance, real space wave functions, molecular orbitals and electron density are stored as parameters, which have to be converted into 3D cubes. Then, orbitals and density can be displayed as iso-surfaces by some molecular graphics software.

However, there are still a lot of data going to the trash before any further processing. A good example is rwf files produced by Gaussian 09 [6]. Since their sizes are large, they are deleted after QC calculations unless users tell the program not to. There are several reasons for throwing away these data. First, they may be irrelevant or not interesting to explain the observed phenomena or experimental results. Second, programs that can make use of these data and produce meaningful analysis results have not been developed. Third, some software require knowledge of python or shell script, and may need to work with third party libraries, therefore they are only used by a small community of computational chemists. Motivated by the second and third reasons, we developed the GPView program.

The GPView program provides full graphic user interface (GUI), which makes it easy to use, even for those who without programing experience. The analysis module, including density matrix and wave function based analysis, allows us to apply recently developed methodology [3, 4] to explore electronic excitations. The visualization module is able to display molecular structures as well as electronic strutures. It can also create animations for single molecular dynamics trajectories. Therefore, most results produced by analysis module can be displayed by the visualization module.

The rest of this manuscript is organized as follows: The underlining theoretical background of analysis module is introduced in section II. It is followed by outlining the visualization and analysis functions in section III. Finally, in section IV, we use a representitive example to illustrate the capability of GPView.

## II. THEORETICAL METHODOLOGY

GPView is a visualization tool, which can be used to view molecular structures, such as balls and sticks, as well as electronic structures, i.e iso-surfaces. It is also an analysis tool, which is capable to calculate and generate 3D grids/cube for molecular orbitals and electron density. In this section, the theoretical background behind these functions are briefly introduced. We will start from molecular orbitals (MO), total scf density, gradient norm and Laplacian of electron density. Then, in the following four subsections, we will outline the wave function analysis methods related with electronic excitations, utilizing various density matrix based methods. The technical details and derivations will not be explained, since you can find them in these books [7, 8] and papers [3, 4, 9–12].

### A. Molecular Orbitals (MO)

It is well known that MOs are linear combination of atomic orbitals (LCAO), i.e.

$$\phi_i(r) = \sum_j C_{i,j}\chi_j(r), \tag{1}$$

where $\phi_i(r)$ and $\chi_j(r)$ represent the wave functions of molecular and atomic orbitals, respectively. The expansion coefficients $C_{i,j}$ are called molecular orbital coefficients.

Given wave functions $\phi_i(r)$ and occupation numbers $\zeta_i$ of occupied MOs, the total SCF density is expressed as

$$\rho(r) = \sum_i^{N_{occ}} \zeta_i(\phi_i(r))^2, \tag{2}$$

where the occupation number $\zeta_i = 2$ for restricted closed shell system. In a similar way, we can define the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ electron density, denoted as $\rho^\alpha(r)$ and $\rho^\beta(r)$. The spin density is then obtained by taking the difference of them

$$\rho^s(r) = \rho^\alpha(r) - \rho^\beta(r). \tag{3}$$

Finally, by calculating the first and second order derivatives of electron density, we can get the Gradient Norm of electron density

$$\nabla\rho(r) = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial\rho(r)}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial\rho(r)}{\partial y}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial\rho(r)}{\partial z}\right)^2} \tag{4}$$

and the Laplacian of electron density

$$\nabla^2\rho(r) = \frac{\partial^2\rho(r)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2\rho(r)}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2\rho(r)}{\partial z^2}, \tag{5}$$

respectively. They have found applications in a number of analysis, such as the topology of electron density [13, 14] and the Reduced Density Gradient (RDG) [15].

### B. Transition Density Matrix

The approach in this subsection is based on the analysis of one-electron transition density (TDM) matrices between ground and electronic excited states [9, 12].

$$T^{\alpha}_{mn} = \langle \Phi^{\alpha}_m | a^{\dagger}_m a_n | \Phi^0_n \rangle \,, \tag{6}$$

where $\Phi^0_n$ and $\Phi^{\alpha}_m$ represent the ground state and excited state $\alpha$. The subscript $m$ and $n$ denote atomic orbitals, in other words atomic basis functions. $T^{\alpha}_{mm}$ represents the net charge induced on the $m$-th atomic orbital when there is an electronic transition between ground state and $\alpha$ excited state. $T^{\alpha}_{mn}$, when $m \neq n$, represents the joint amplitude of finding an extra electron on orbital m and a hole on orbital n [12].

To visualize the modes for electronic transitions, the matrix elements belong each atom are contracted to a single element. In the GPView, the following two approach are adopted for the contraction

$$\Omega^{\alpha}_{AB} = \sqrt{\sum_{m\in A, n\in B} |T^{\alpha}_{mn}|^2}, \tag{7}$$

and

$$\Omega^{\alpha}_{AB} = \sum_{m\in A, n\in B} |T^{\alpha}_{mn}|, \tag{8}$$

where $A$ and $B$ are labels for atoms. The third way is based on the concept of charge transfer number suggested by Plasser and Lischka in [9],

$$\Omega^{\alpha}_{AB} = \sum_{m\in A, n\in B} (T^{\alpha}S)_{mn}(ST^{\alpha})_{mn}, \tag{9}$$

where $T^{\alpha}$ and $S$ denote the transition density matrix and overlap matrix. This definition is analogous to Mayer's bond order [9]. Here, we name the matrix obtained from equation 7 and 8 as **contracted transition density matrix (CTDM)** and from equation 9 as **charge transfer number matrix (CTNM)** in GPView.

It is worth to mention that the CTNMs can be further used to calculate the charge transfer numbers between different molecular fragments by simply taking the sum of matrix elements belong to those segments. For the visualization purpose, we can first calculate the square roots of matrix elements, then display the matrix. We will refer these matrices as **SCTNM**. As to the population analysis, the CTNM can be used to calculate the partition ratio, coherence length and so on [9]. Compared with the original TDMs, the CTDMs and CTNMs are much smaller in size and easy to be stored and transfered.

### C. Natural Transition Orbitals (NTOs)

Natural Transition Orbitals have become a standard tool to study the optically electronic transitions [10, 16]. They are obtained by diagonalizing the TDMs in the basis of canonical molecular orbitals. The matrix elements $T_{mn}^{\alpha,MO}$ represent the joint amplitude of electronic transitions between molecular orbitals, instead of atomic basis functions. In the case of CIS and TDA [17] approximation, they are the same as the CI-coefficients promoted from MO $\phi_n$ to $\phi_m$ [3]. The transition density matrices are not symmetric. Since the number of occupied and virtual orbitals are different, they are not even squared matrices.

Suppose we have an $M \times N$ transition density matrix $T^{\alpha,MO}$, by doing the Singular Value Decomposition (SVD), we get

$$T^{\alpha,MO} = U\Lambda V^{tr}, \tag{10}$$

where $\Lambda$ is a diagonal matrix with $\Lambda_{ii} = \sqrt{\lambda_i}$ $(i = 1, \ldots, M)$ represent eigenvalues. The matrix $U$ and $V$ store eigenvectors (i.e. expansion coefficients) for hole and particle NTOs, respectively. It is worth to mention that $U$ and $V$ are unitary and eigenvectors of matrix $TT^{tr}$ $(M \times M)$ and $T^{tr}T$ $(N \times N)$. Regardless of frozen orbitals, $M$ and $N$ $(M < N)$ are the same as the number occupied and virtual orbitals, respectively.

With matrix $U$ and $V$, we can define hole and particle NTOs [3, 10, 18] as

$$\psi_j^h(r) = \sum_i U_{ij}\phi_i^o(r), \tag{11}$$

$$\psi_j^p(r) = \sum_i V_{ij}\phi_i^v(r), \tag{12}$$

where $\phi^o$ and $\phi^v$ represents occupied and virtual molecular orbitals, respectively. The wave function for hole NTOs $\psi_j^h(r)$ and particle NTOs $\psi_j^p(r)$ correspond to the same eigenvalue

$\sqrt{\lambda_j}$, which is a one-to-one map. Since $M < N$, the original $M \times N$ matrix is reduced to an $M \times M$ matrix in the basis of NTOs.

Now, we are at the position to define the hole density $\rho^h(r)$, particle density $\rho^p(r)$ and transition density $\rho^t(r)$. They are expressed as

$$\rho^h(r) = \sum_j \lambda_j (\psi_j^h(r))^2, \tag{13}$$

$$\rho^p(r) = \sum_j \lambda_j (\psi_j^p(r))^2, \tag{14}$$

$$\rho^t(r) = \sum_j \sqrt{\lambda_j} \psi_j^h(r) \psi_j^p(r), \tag{15}$$

where in equation 15, the hole and particle NTOs are rearranged, so that both $\psi_j^h(r)$ and $\psi_j^p(r)$ correspond to the same eigenvalue $\sqrt{\lambda_j}$. The hole, particle and transition density can also defined by the NTOs with the largest eigenvalue (close to 1).

Finally, the natural transition orbital partition ratio [9] is defined as

$$PR_{NTO} = \frac{(\sum_i \lambda_i)^2}{\sum_i \lambda_i^2}. \tag{16}$$

### D. Natural Orbitals (NOs)

The Natural Orbitals are obtained by diagonalizing the state density matrices. Particularly, we diagonalize total CI density matrices to get NOs for different excited states in GPView. Since a state density matrix is symmetric, it is diagonalized by an unitary matrix $U$,

$$D^\alpha = U \Lambda U^{tr}, \tag{17}$$

where the $\Lambda$ is a diagonal matrix with $\Lambda_{ii} = n_i$ are eigenvalues. The $n_i$ are also named as occupation numbers. The number of electrons in the system is identical to the sum of occupation numbers [3],

$$n = \sum_i n_i. \tag{18}$$

With matrix $U$, NOs are defined as

$$\psi_j(r) = \sum_i U_{ij} \phi_i(r), \tag{19}$$

where $\phi_i(r)$ represent canonical molecular orbitals. Similar to the total SCF density, we can express the total density as the weighted sum over squared NOs,

$$\rho^{\alpha}(r) = \sum_i n_i(\psi_i^{\alpha}(r))^2, \tag{20}$$

where $\alpha$ denotes $\alpha$ excited state. Here, we can take the different between density of state $\alpha$ and ground state

$$\Delta\rho(r) = \rho^{\alpha}(r) - \rho(r), \tag{21}$$

to get the difference density. The capability and limitation of using difference density to study electronic transition are explained in [18].

### E. Natural Difference Orbitals (NDOs)

The analysis approaches in this section are based on the the difference of density matrices,

$$\Delta^{0\alpha} = D^{\alpha} - D^0, \tag{22}$$

where $D^0$ and $D^{\alpha}$ are density matrix for ground and $\alpha$ excited state. Since both of them are symmetric, $\Delta^{0\alpha}$ is also a symmetric matrix. Therefore, it can be diagonalized

$$\Delta^{0\alpha} = U\Lambda U^{tr}, \tag{23}$$

where eigenvalues $\Lambda_{ii} = \kappa_i$. Similar to equation 19, we can get the Natural Difference Orbitals. The NDOs can be assigned to two groups according to the signs of their eigenvalues, where negative and positive describe electron detachment and attachment processes, respectively. Mathematically, we need to define two vectors $d$ and $a$ of eigenvalues

$$d_i = -\min(\kappa_i, 0), \tag{24}$$

$$a_i = \max(\kappa_i, 0). \tag{25}$$

The detachment and attachment densities are then defined as the weighted sum of squared NDOs [3, 11, 18]

$$\rho^d(r) = \sum_i d_i(\psi_i^d(r))^2, \tag{26}$$

$$\rho^a(r) = \sum_i a_i(\psi_i^a(r))^2. \tag{27}$$

A comparison between NTOs and NDOs are illustrated in [3].

It is worth to mention that overlap matrix is positive defined [8]. If the eigenvalues are negative, the overlap matrix is unphysical. In this situation, $S^{\frac{1}{2}}$ can not be obtained, so that you can not use GPView to calculate the NOs and NDOs.

## III. PROGRAM

GPView is a program for visualization and analysis purpose. The full graphic user interface makes it user friendly. We will briefly introduce the main functions in the following two subsections. More detailed descriptions can be found in the user's manual and tutorials from our website: http://life-tp.com/gpview.

### A. Visualization module and file formats

1. Visualize molecular structures. GPView can display molecular structures as balls and sticks and save them as figures. It supports many file formats as input. For example, it can read structures from Gaussian input, output, fchk and wfx files. It can also read structures from PDB and XYZ files. The molecules can be displayed as balls and sticks, liquorice balls and sticks, VDW spheres, and protein ribbon bands, typically. All the screen-shots can be saved as figures into PNG files.

2. Animate single trajectories of molecular dynamics (MD). During the MD for small molecules, the molecular structures are stored in the XYZ files. GPView can read structures from XYZ files, create animations and save screenshots as videos (AVI) or figures (PNG).

3. Animate single trajectories of non-adiabatic excited state molecular dynamics (NA-ESMD). This function is useful for visualize and analyze the results of NA-ESMD [19, 20]. In addition to molecular structures, we also want to keep track of several other variables, such as potential energy surfaces, state populations, non-adiabatic coupling terms, bond length and hopping probabilities. They are all stored in TXT files with special format. This function is tested by the output of GPV-ESMD, see website http://life-tp.com/gpv-namd.

3. Visualize electronic structures. GPView can interface with Gaussian Cube files, which store molecular structures and grid points of 3D objects, and show the iso-surfaces for molecular orbitals and electron density. There are several options related with properties of

iso-surfaces and cutter planes, so that we can easily choose the values, contours and colors of the displayed surfaces.

4. Visualize a pair of 3D objects. Sometimes, we may need to view and compare two objects, such as HOMO-LUMO, hole-particle denisty and detachment-attachment density. The 'visualize a pair of cubes' module in GPView makes this easier, because we are able to load two objects into the same window, and interact with them at the same time.

5. Contour plots and color maps of matrices. This function is related with visualizations of excited state electronic modes based on CTDMs and CTNMs. It has found applications in selecting the exciton bands [21, 22], and determining excitonic and charge transfer states [9, 12, 23] for conjugated organic molecules. GPView can read these matrices from TXT files and show them as 2D contours or color maps.

6. Plot density of states and spectrum. We can use GPView to read energy and oscillator strength from Gaussian output or TXT files, and plot the density of states and spectrum. The broaden functions for energy include Gaussian and Lorentzian functions.

### B. Analysis module

1. Interface with Gaussian rwf dumped files. During the quantum chemistry calculations, Gaussian 09 [6] output many useful matrices to rwf files, which can be extracted into TXT files by the 'rwfdump' utility. Then, GPView can be applied to interface these files and extract them as matrix (or half matrix) format to TXT files. These matrices include overlap matrix, MO coefficients, state and transition density matrices, CI-coefficients and so on.

2. Calculate CTDM and CTNM. With the TDM and overlap matrix, we can calculate the CTDM and CTNM by GPView. These matrices can be visualized as contours or color maps, representing the electronic modes of excited states. As discussed in theoretical methodology section, the CTNM can be further used in population analysis.

3. Real space wave functions and density. GPView can read parameters from Gaussian 09 output, fchk and rwf dumped files, and then perform calculations and generate 3D cubes for orbitals and density. This is a two step process. First, we can use GPView to interface with fchk files and calculate molecular orbitals, natural transition orbitals, natural orbitals or natural difference orbitals. The parameters will be stored wfx files. Second, we will use GPView to interface with wfx files and calculate orbitals, density, or gradient norm and

Laplacian of electron density. The 3D grid points will be generated and written into cube files.

## IV. APPLICATION

In this section, we will use a representative example to illustrate the capability of GPView in wave function analysis of electronic excitations. The input and output of this example will be available in our website, if not you can request a copy from the authors. We will not talk about the animations of MD or NA-ESMD trajectories, because the results are in video format. However, these functions are explained in user's manual and tutorials in details. Wave function analysis module supports spin restricted closed shell and unrestricted systems so far. In the test example, we will only show the former case, i.e. restricted closed shell system.

Quantum-chemical calculations have been performed on a branched dendritic conjugated molecule, which is composed of two-, three- and four- ring linear poly(phenylene ethynylene) (PPE) units linked through meta-substitutions [24] (see Fig. 1). The molecule is optimized by Gaussian 09 package [6] using cam-b3lyp/6-31g method [25]. It is followed by TDDFT calculations at the same level of theory for 10 singlet excited states.

The overlap matrix, state Rho-CI density matrices, transition density matrices (TDM) and CI-coefficients are first extracted from rwf dumped files. With overlap matrix and TDM for each excited state, the CTDMs and CTNMs can be calculated. This work is much more efficient and convenient than generating 3D cubes. CTDMs and CTNMs are then displayed as contours (See Fig. 2, 3 and 4). To get reasonable results, the nuclei should be properly ordered. In Fig. 1, we first sort the heavy atoms from the two-ring segment to the four-ring segment. Since hydrogen atoms play minor role in electronic excitations in such system, we leave them to the end.



FIG. 1: The model conjugated molecule. All atoms need to be properly ordered, which is crucial in transition density matrix based analysis.




FIG. 2: CTNMs of the first excited state. Top left: CTNM. Top right: CTNM for heavy atoms only. Bottom: SCTNM for heavy atoms only.

The X and Y direction of contour plots represent the position of electrons and holes in terms of labels for nuclei. From Fig. 2, we find that electronic transitions mainly occur on four-ring segment. The transitions on three-ring segment are also observed, however, they are minor. Compared Fig. 2 top left with top right, it can be seen that the electronic transitions from or to hydrogen atoms can be neglected. Therefore, in the rest of this manuscript, we will plot matrices for heavy atoms only. Contour plots of CTDMs (Fig. 3) and SCTNM (Fig. 2 Bottom) give similar results. Since the sum of elements in CTNM is close to 1 and its definition is analogous to Mayer's bond order, we suggest to use SCTNMs for displaying electronic modes. However, we can still use CTDMs if overlap matrix is not available.




FIG. 3: Contracted Transition Density Matrices of the first excited state. Left: Method 1 (equation 7). Right: Method 2 (equation 8). Both of them are for heavy atoms only.

The electronic modes for the first six excited states are displayed in the Fig. 4. It has been found that all of them are excitonic states, since the electronic modes are symmetric and peaked in diagonal. The first and third excited states are spatially localized on four-ring segment, where zero and one node is observed. From the Exciton Scattering perspective [22], they are two states in the first exciton band that reside on the four-ring segment. If the segment is longer, states with more than one nodes will be observed too. The second and fifth figures describe electronic transitions on the three-ring segment, while the fourth and sixth figure corresponding to the transitions on the two-ring segment.




FIG. 4: SCTNMs for the first six excited states.

From the 2D contour plots of transition density matrices, we can get the electronic modes of different excited states for conjugated molecules. The population analysis based on the CTNM [9] can also be done. Therefore, transition density matrix based analysis is a very useful tool for electronic excitations. From now on, we will talk about the real space wave function based analysis in GPView. It depends on wave functions, state density matrix, and transition density matrix.

We first use GPView to generate molecular orbitals from HOMO-2 to LUMO+2. HOMO and LUMO represent highest occupied molecular orbital and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital, respectively. It can be seen from the Fig. 5 that the HOMO to LUMO transition is

the $\pi - \pi^*$ transition on the four-ring segment, while HOMO-1 and LUMO+1 are spatially localized on the three-ring segment, and HOMO-2 and LUMO+2 are on the two-ring segment. From this trend, we can roughly say that electronic elecitations localized on two-ring segment have higher transition enegy than those on the three- and four-ring segments.



FIG. 5: Molecular Orbitals from HOMO-2 to LUMO+2. The orbital energy are -0.2655, -0.2521, -0.2477, -0.0305, -0.0230, -0.0031 a.u, respectively.

Considering the first electronic excited state, GPView is able to calculate NOs (Fig. 6), NTOs (Fig. 7) and NDOs (Fig. 9). The hole, particle and transition density (Fig.8) are calcuated as well after NTOs are obtained. Based on the NDOs, we can get the detachment and attachment density (Fig.10). During the calculations of NOs and NDOs, there are

two state density matrices available for each excited state in Gaussian 09 output, i.e. total CI density matrix and total Rho-CI density matrix. From various tests, we find that the detachment-attachment density corresponding to total Rho-CI density matrix matches well with the hole-particle density. Therefore, in this manuscript, we use the total Rho-CI density matrix. However, there are functions available to calcuate NOs and NDOs based on total CI density matrix in GPView.



FIG. 6: Natural Orbitals. The occupation number are Top: $n_{177} = 1.2039$ and $n_{178} = 0.7961$. Middle: $n_{176} = 1.9118$ and $n_{179} = 0.0882$. Botton: $n_{175} = 1.9190$ and $n_{180} = 0.0810$. $n_{177} + n_{178} = n_{176} + n_{179} = n_{175} + n_{180} = 2.0$.

The NTOs corresponding to the first three eigenvalues are shown in Fig. 7. Since $\lambda_1/\lambda_2 =$

9.0141 and $\lambda_1/\lambda_3 = 9.8237$, the contributions of NTOs with $\lambda_2$ and $\lambda_3$ can not be neglected in the analysis. The first pair of NTOs characterizes electronic transitions localized on the four-ring segment, while the second pair describes transitions mainly on the three-ring segment. If we only consider the first pair of NTOs in calculating the hole-particle and transition density, the features on the three-ring unit will not be captured. This can be clearly seen by comparing two bottom figures in Fig. 8. From the contour plot of CTNM for the first excited state (see Fig.4 top left), we also observe transitions on the three-ring segment.



FIG. 7: Natural Transition Orbitals. Top: $\sqrt{\lambda_1} = 0.8917$. Middle: $\sqrt{\lambda_2} = 0.2970$. Botton: $\sqrt{\lambda_3} = 0.2845$.



FIG. 8: Hole-particle and transition density. Top: hole-particle density calculated by NTOs with the highest weight. Middle: hole-particle density calculated by all NTOs with non-zero eigenvalues. Botton: Transition density calculated by NTOs with the highest weight and all non-zero eigenvalues, respectively.

The analysis of NDOs (Fig.9) and detachment-attachment density (Fig.10) gives similar results as the analysis of NTOs and hole-particle density. The primary NDOs are localized on the four-ring segment, while the second pair of NDOs are on the three-ring segment. The overall value of $p = \sum_i d_i = \sum_i a_i = 1.0094$, which indicates the promoted electrons is close to one.



FIG. 9: Natural Difference Orbitals. Top: $d_i = 0.7961, a_i = 0.7961$. Middle: $d_i = 0.0882, a_i = 0.0882$. Botton: $d_i = 0.0810, a_i = 0.0810$.



FIG. 10: Detachment-Attachment Density.

Finaly, we will introduce a convenient function that can help to create cube files more efficiently. It is known that calculations of grid points for orbitals and density is time consuming for large molecules. There are two ways to improve efficience in GPView. First, we can generate low dense cubes, which means the number of points in each direction is less than before. This approach can still generate cubes with the same size, however, the quality of the figure may be coarse. Second, we can still keep the quality however generate a smaller cube (see Fig. 11).



FIG. 11: Hole and particle density for the sixth excited state.

## V. CONCLUTIONS

In this manuscript, we briefly introduced the theoretical background of analysis module, functions and applications of GPView. Detailed descriptions will be available in the user's manual and tutorials. You can download the software and user's manual from life-tp.com/gpview. More functions will be coded into GPView in the future.

**Acknowledgments**

We would like to thank Dr. Vladimir Chernyak and Dr. H. Bernhard Schlegel for insightful discussion.

---

[1] G.Schaftenaar and J.H. Noordik. Molden: a pre- and post-processing program for molecular and electronic structures.

[2] T. Lu and F. W. Chen. Multiwfn: A multifunctional wavefunction analyzer. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 33(5):580–592, 2012.

[3] F. Plasser, M. Wormit, and A. Dreuw. New tools for the systematic analysis and visualization of electronic excitations. i. formalism. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, 141(2), 2014.

[4] F. Plasser, S. A. Bappler, M. Wormit, and A. Dreuw. New tools for the systematic analysis and visualization of electronic excitations. ii. applications. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, 141(2), 2014.

[5] T. Etienne, X. Assfeld, and A. Monari. Toward a quantitative assessment of electronic transitions' charge-transfer character. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, 10(9):3896–3905, 2014.

[6] M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, . Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, and D. J. Fox. Gaussian09 Revision D.01. Gaussian Inc. Wallingford CT 2009.

[7] T Helgaker, P Jørgensen, and J Olsen. *Molecular Electronic Structure Theory*. John Wiley &

Sons, LTD, Chichester, 2000.

[8] Attila Szabo and Neil S. Ostlund. *Modern Quantum Chemistry: Introduction to Advanced Electronic Structure Theory*. Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, first edition, 1996.

[9] F. Plasser and H. Lischka. Analysis of excitonic and charge transfer interactions from quantum chemical calculations. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, 8(8):2777–2789, 2012.

[10] R. L. Martin. Natural transition orbitals. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, 118(11):4775–4777, 2003.

[11] Martin Head-Gordon, Ana M. Grana, David Maurice, and Christopher A. White. Analysis of electronic transitions as the difference of electron attachment and detachment densities. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry*, 99(39):14261–14270, 1995.

[12] S. Tretiak and S. Mukamel. Density matrix analysis and simulation of electronic excitations in conjugated and aggregated molecules. *Chemical Reviews*, 102(9):3171–3212, 2002.

[13] P.L.A. Popelier. On the full topology of the laplacian of the electron density. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, 197(1):169 – 189, 2000.

[14] R.F.W. Bader. *Atoms in Molecules: A Quantum Theory*. International Ser. of Monogr. on Chem. Clarendon Press, 1994.

[15] Wolfram Koch and Max.C Holthausen. *A chemist's guide to density functional theory*. Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, New York, Chichester, 2001.

[16] Pter R. Surjn. Natural orbitals in {CIS} and singular-value decomposition. *Chemical Physics Letters*, 439(46):393 – 394, 2007.

[17] So Hirata and Martin Head-Gordon. Time-dependent density functional theory within the tammdancoff approximation. *Chemical Physics Letters*, 314(34):291 – 299, 1999.

[18] A. Dreuw and M. Head-Gordon. Single-reference ab initio methods for the calculation of excited states of large molecules. *Chemical Reviews*, 105(11):4009–4037, 2005.

[19] M. Barbatti, M. Ruckenbauer, F. Plasser, J. Pittner, G. Granucci, M. Persico, and H. Lischka. Newton-x: a surface-hopping program for nonadiabatic molecular dynamics. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews-Computational Molecular Science*, 4(1):26–33, 2014.

[20] L. K. Du and Z. G. Lan. An on-the-fly surface-hopping program jade for nonadiabatic molecular dynamics of polyatomic systems: Implementation and applications. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, 11(4):1360–1374, 2015.

[21] C. Wu, S. V. Malinin, S. Tretiak, and V. Y. Chernyak. Multiscale modeling of electronic

excitations in branched conjugated molecules using an exciton scattering approach. *Physical Review Letters*, 100(5), 2008.

[22] C. Wu, S. V. Malinin, S. Tretiak, and V. Y. Chernyak. Exciton scattering and localization in branched dendrimeric structures. *Nature Physics*, 2(9):631–635, 2006.

[23] J. Huang, L. K. Du, D. P. Hu, and Z. G. Lan. Theoretical analysis of excited states and energy transfer mechanism in conjugated dendrimers. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 36(3):151–163, 2015.

[24] M. A. Soler, A. E. Roitberg, T. Neson, S. Tretiak, and S. Fernandez-Alberti. Analysis of state-specific vibrations coupled to the unidirectional energy transfer in conjugated dendrimers. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, 116(40):9802–9810, 2012.

[25] T. Yanai, D. P. Tew, and N. C. Handy. A new hybrid exchange-correlation functional using the coulomb-attenuating method (cam-b3lyp). *Chemical Physics Letters*, 393(1-3):51–57, 2004.